

Not Confidential

Decision Notice From: **Executive Board**

Date: **22 January 2025**

Title: **PROPOSED DISPOSAL OF COUNCIL OWNED LAND AT AMOS WAY AND CHURCH WALK, SIBSEY:**

Wards Affected: **Sibsey & Stickney**

Key Decision: **No** Implementation Date: **3 February 2025**

Urgent Decision: **No**

DECISION:

1. That the land at Church Walk and Amos Way Sibsey, edged red on the plans at Appendices 1 and 2 to the report, be declared surplus to the Council's requirements;
2. That the Deputy Chief Executive, Programme Delivery and Assistant Director - General Fund Assets be delegated authority to follow the advertising process set out by Section 123 (2A) of the Local Government Act, 1972, in respect of the land set out in Recommendation 1.
3. That the lawful exemptions set out within The Local Government Act 1972: General Disposal Consent (England) 2003, to agree the disposal of the freehold title of the two plots of land set out in Recommendation 1, to Sibsey Parish Council at their restricted market value of £1 each, representing a notional undervalue of £224,998 be relied upon in the event that no representations are received by the Council in respect of Recommendation 2.
4. That the Deputy Chief Executive, Programme Delivery and Assistant Director - General Fund Assets be delegated authority to protect the Council's future position by specifying appropriate Restrictive Covenants within any resulting conveyance, including an 80% overage clause on both assets.

In the event that any representations are made to the Council in respect of recommendation 2, recommendations 3 and 4 cannot take effect and the Executive will need to formally consider any representations made before making a final decision.

Reason for the Decision:

- The disposal of the assets will enable the facilities to be managed at a local level by the Parish Council and community of Sibsey.
- The assets are not considered to be of any strategic value.
- The assets do not offer any development potential given that they are allocated as protected open space and sport, and recreation uses in the current Local Plan.
- Marginal annual revenue savings will be achieved once the transfer is completed.

Alternative options considered:

Retention

- Amos Way is currently leased by the Parish Council on a peppercorn rent. The option to continue the lease has been considered but the asset does not generate income of any significance. Termination of the lease or its continuation would not therefore have any significant adverse effect on the Council's revenue income.

Development

- The opportunity to develop the land has also been explored. The land is however designated open space in the current Local Plan so is unlikely to be supported for other uses without alternative recreation land being provided elsewhere in the village.

Conflict of interest declared:

None.

Dispensations granted in respect of a conflict of interest:

N/A

East Lindsey District Council

Agenda No. **6**

Not Confidential

Decision Notice From: **Executive Board**

Date: **22 January 2025**

Title: **SCRUTINY - ANNUAL JOINT SCRUTINY OF THE SOUTH & EAST LINCOLNSHIRE COUNCILS PARTNERSHIP:**

Wards Affected: **All Wards**

Key Decision: **No** Implementation Date: **3 February 2025**

Urgent Decision: **No**

DECISION:

- That the report (attached at Appendix 1) and associated recommendations be noted;
- That the recommendations contained within the report be agreed.

Reason for the Decision:

To continue to learn, build on our experiences and continue our success as a Partnership of Councils.

Alternative options considered:

None.

Conflict of interest declared:

None.

Dispensations granted in respect of a conflict of interest:

N/A

East Lindsey District Council

Agenda No. **8**

Not Confidential

Decision Notice From: **Executive Board**

Date: **22 January 2025**

Title: **COUNCIL / PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNERSHIP SERVICES TRANSFORMATION AND SERVICE MODERNISATION PROGRAMME AND BUSINESS PLAN 2025 TO 2027:**

Wards Affected: **N/A**

Key Decision: **Yes** Implementation Date: **3 February 2025**

Urgent Decision: **No**

DECISION:

Business Plan

- a) That subject to a similar decision by the other founding shareholder, the PSPS Business Plan 2025 to 2027 as set out at Appendix A to the report be recommended to Council for approval.

Service modernisation plan

- a) That subject to the budget allocations being approved for the identified investment, the Transformation and Service Modernisation Programme set out at Exempt Appendix B to the report be approved.
- b) That subject to the budget allocations being approved, the Deputy Chief Executive – Corporate Development, in consultation with the PSPS Portfolio Holder be delegated authority to approve or reject individual Business Cases in relation to the Transformation and Service Modernisation Programme.
- c) That periodic reviews of the Transformation and Service Modernisation Programme's delivery be undertaken as requested through the Council's scrutiny arrangements.
- d) That feedback from scrutiny committee at section 4 of the report be noted.

Reason for the Decision:

To agree a Business Plan and Transformation and Service Modernisation Programme that seeks to enable PSPS to continue to improve services and deliver savings. These are requirements within the Joint Venture Shareholder Agreement.

Alternative options considered:

Business Plan

Do nothing – whilst this is an option, the existing Business Plan needs updating and is a requirement of the Joint Venture Shareholder Agreement.

Alternative Business Plan – the Council could ask the company to develop an alternative Business Plan.

Transformation and Service Modernisation Programme

Continuing to invest in PSPS to enable transformative change both within the company and Councils is important at a time when there is ever increasing customer expectation regarding Council services and increasing budget pressures.

Do nothing – whilst this is an option, this is a requirement of the Joint Venture Shareholder Agreement.

Conflict of interest declared:

None.

Dispensations granted in respect of a conflict of interest:

N/A

East Lindsey District Council

Agenda No. **9**

Not Confidential

Decision Notice From: **Executive Board**

Date: **22 January 2025**

Title: **ACQUISITION AND DELIVERY OF FOUR ACCOMMODATION PODS WITHIN THE LOCALITY OF SKEGNESS:**

Wards Affected: **Skegness Scarbrough & Seacroft; Skegness St Clements; Skegness Winthorpe**

Key Decision: **No** Implementation Date: **3 February 2025**

Urgent Decision: **No**

DECISION:

- 1) That provision of four 'Amazing Grace' style accommodation pods for people that may otherwise need to sleep rough within the locality of Skegness, as set out in this report be approved.
- 2) That the Deputy Chief Executive – Communities in conjunction with the Portfolio Holder for Communities and Better Ageing be delegated authority to agree the specific location of the siting of such pods within the locality of Skegness.

3) That an amendment to the Council's 2024/25 capital programme to include £70k for the provision of four pods and the allocation of a £10k revenue budget to be used as a contingency fund, both funded from reserves be agreed.

Reason for the Decision:

- 1) To assist in meeting the needs of homeless households; specifically, people who are sleeping rough within the locality of Skegness.
- 2) To provide emergency accommodation for people who are sleeping rough.
- 3) To be better able to manage the risks posed by some people who sleep rough who are unsuitable for traditional accommodation.
- 4) To create an opportunity to engage and support people that are sleeping rough, providing the first step towards changing entrenched behaviours.

Alternative options considered:

Do nothing - In this event the authority would not proceed with the purchase of the pods. As a consequence, the expected benefits set out in the Reasons for Recommendations section of this report would not be realised.

Alternative pods

Officers considered a range of options on the types of pods that are available. Following a thorough options appraisal and evaluation of several pod styles, taking account of cost, size, infrastructure requirements, management implications and general suitability, the preferred Amazing Grace style pod option set out in the report has been brought forward for Member consideration. The option to acquire an alternative pod style could be considered.

Conflict of interest declared:

None.

Dispensations granted in respect of a conflict of interest:

N/A